

SEVERAL TREASURES OF THE QUEEN OF MATHEMATICS

By Leszek W. Guła

Lublin-POLAND

lwgula@wp.pl

June 1997 and December 2014 – April 2015

Abstract

The Guła's Theorem (the new method of solving the equation $g = z^2 - y^2$ with given g).

The complete proof of The Erdős-Straus Conjecture.

The proof of The Jeśmanowicz's Conjecture.

Disproof The Oesterlé–Masser Conjecture (The ABC Conjecture).

MSC: Primary - 11A41, 11D41, 11D45; Secondary - 11D61, 11D75, 11D85.

Keywords

ABC Conjecture, Algebra of Sets, Diophantine Equations, Diophantine Inequalities, Exponential Equations, Fermat Equation, Greatest Common Divisor, Prime Numbers, Trinomial Square.

Dedicatory

I Dedicate this to My Wife

I. INTRODUCTION

The Guła's Theorem and The Erdős-Straus Conjecture and The Jeśmanowicz's Conjecture concerns the Diophantine Equations. One important topic in number theory is the study of Diophantine equations, equations in which only integer solutions are permitted. The type of Diophantine equation discussed in this paper concerns Egyptian fractions, which deal with the representation of rational numbers as the sum of three unit fractions. [3] The Jeśmanowicz Conjecture [2] is slightly restated because The Fermat's Last Theorem (FLT) is true. [4], [5]

The ABC Conjecture concerns easy equation $a + b = c$.

II. THE GUŁA'S THEOREM

Theorem 1 (Guła Theorem – June 1997). *For each given $g \in \{8,12,16, \dots\}$ or for each given $g \in \{3,5,7, \dots\}$ there exist finitely many pairs (z, y) of positive integers such that*

$$g = \left(\frac{g + d^2}{2d}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{g - d^2}{2d}\right)^2 = z^2 - y^2 = (z + y)(z - y) = \frac{g}{d}(z - y) = \frac{g}{d}d = g,$$

where $d|g$ and $d < \sqrt{g}$ and $-d, \frac{g}{d} \in \{2,4,6, \dots\}$ with even g or $d \in \{1,3,5, \dots\}$ with odd g .

Proof of the Main Theorem. It is easy to verify that

$$\left(\frac{g + d^2}{2d} = z \wedge \frac{g - d^2}{2d} = y = z - d \right).$$

The number of the pairs (z, y) is finite because $d|g$ with given g . Moreover this pairs (z, y) of positive integers are all, inasmuch as FLT for even n is true. ♠

III. THE PROOF OF THE ERDŐS-STRAUS CONJECTURE

Conjecture 1 (Erdős–Straus Conjecture). For all $n \in \{2,3,4, \dots\}$ and for some $a, b, c \in \{1,2,3, \dots\}$:

$$\frac{4}{n} = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c}.$$

Proof. For all $n \in \{2,4,6, \dots\}$ and for some $a, b, c \in \{1,2,3, \dots\}$:

$$\left[\frac{4}{n} = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} \wedge \frac{n}{2} = a \wedge \frac{n+2}{2} = b \wedge \frac{n(n+2)}{4} = c \right]. \spadesuit$$

For all $n \in \{3,7,11, \dots\}$ and for some $a, c, b \in \{1,2,3, \dots\}$:

$$\left[\frac{4}{n} = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{c} + \frac{1}{b} \wedge \frac{n+1}{2} = a = c \wedge \frac{n(n+1)}{4} = b \right]. \quad (1)$$

Thus for all $n \in \{3,9,15,21,27,33,39,45,51,57,63, \dots\}$ and for some $a, c, b \in \{1,2,3, \dots\}$:

$$\left[\frac{4}{n} = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{c} + \frac{1}{b} \wedge \frac{2n}{3} = a = c \wedge n = b \right],$$

and for all $n \in \{7,21,35,49,63,77,91,105,119,133,147, \dots\}$ and for some $a, c, b \in \{1,2,3, \dots\}$:

$$\left[\frac{4}{n} = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{c} + \frac{1}{b} \wedge \frac{4n}{7} = a = c \wedge 2n = b \right],$$

and for all $n \in \{11,33,55,77,99,121,143,165,187,209, \dots\}$ and for some $a, c, b \in \{1,2,3, \dots\}$:

$$\left[\frac{4}{n} = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{c} + \frac{1}{b} \wedge \frac{6n}{11} = a = c \wedge 3n = b \right], \dots$$

For all $n \in \{5,13,21, \dots\}$ and for some $a, b, c \in \{1,2,3, \dots\}$:

$$\left[\frac{4}{n} = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} \wedge \frac{3n+1}{4} = a \wedge \frac{n(3n+1)}{4} = b \wedge \frac{3n+1}{8} = c \right]. \quad (2)$$

For all $n \in \{5,11,17, \dots\}$ and for some $a, b, c \in \{1,2,3, \dots\}$:

$$\left[\frac{4}{n} = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} \wedge \frac{n+1}{3} = a \wedge n = b \wedge \frac{n(n+1)}{3} = c \right]. \quad (3)$$

On the strength of [3] for all $n \in \{97, 111, 125, \dots\}$ and for some $a, b, c \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$:

$$\left[\frac{4}{n} = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} \wedge \frac{2(n+1)}{7} = a \wedge 2n = b \wedge \frac{2n(n+1)}{7} = c \right]. \quad (4)$$

For all $n \in \{3, 17, 31, \dots\}$ and for some $c, x \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$:

$$\left[\frac{4}{n} = \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{1}{c} + \frac{1}{c+x} \wedge \frac{4n^2-1}{7} = x \wedge \frac{2n+1}{7} = c \right]. \quad (5)$$

On the strength of [3] for all $n \in \{13, 33, 53, \dots\}$ and for some $a, b, c \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$:

$$\left[\frac{4}{n} = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} \wedge \frac{3n+1}{10} = a \wedge \frac{n(3n+1)}{4} = b \wedge \frac{3n+1}{2} = c \right]. \quad (6)$$

The key of this proof is the following sum of the subsets, which should be written in columns to determine the missing odd prime numbers, namely

$$\{5, 9, 13, \dots\} =$$

$$\{5, 25, 45, \dots\} \cup \{9, 29, 49, \dots\} \cup \{13, 33, 53, \dots\} \cup \{17, 37, 57, \dots\} \cup \{21, 41, 61, \dots\}.$$

From (2), (3), (4), (5) and (6) we will have by analogy yes, as well from (1).

Therefore, and on the strength of the **Theorem 1** we get -

for all $n \in \{n: n = 337 + 120k \wedge k \in [0, 1, 2, \dots] \wedge \text{only } 1, n \mid n\}$

or for all $n \in \{n: n = 1009 + 120k \wedge k \in [0, 1, 2, \dots] \wedge \text{only } 1, n \mid n\}$

or for all $n \in \{n: n = 1201 + 120k \wedge k \in [0, 1, 2, \dots] \wedge \text{only } 1, n \mid n\}$

or for some $m, x, c \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and for some $d \in \{2, 4, 6, \dots\}$ such that $2nm > d$:

$$\left[\frac{4}{n} = \frac{1}{nm} + \frac{1}{x+c} + \frac{1}{c} \Rightarrow (4m-1)c^2 + [(4m-1)x - 2nm]c - nm x = 0 \right] \Rightarrow$$

$$\left[\Delta = [(4m-1)x]^2 + (2nm)^2 = (n^2 + m^2)^2 \wedge x = \frac{n^2 - m^2}{4m-1} \wedge c = \frac{nm + m^2}{4m-1} \right] \vee$$

$$\left[\Delta = [(4m-1)x]^2 + (2nm)^2 = \left(\frac{(2nm)^2 + d^2}{2d} \right)^2 \wedge x = \frac{\frac{(2nm)^2}{2d} - \frac{d}{2}}{4m-1} \wedge c = \frac{nm + \frac{d}{2}}{4m-1} \right]. \spadesuit$$

Examples.

For $n = 337$ and for $m = 18$ and for some $x, c \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$:

$$\left[\frac{4m-1}{nm} = \frac{x+2c}{(x+c)c} \wedge 71c^2 + (71x - 12132)c - 6066x = 0 \right] \Rightarrow$$

$$\left[\Delta = (71x)^2 + 12132^2 = (n^2 + m^2)^2 \wedge x = \frac{337^2 - 18^2}{71} \wedge c = \frac{6066 + 324}{71} = 90 \right].$$

Hence for all $n \in \{337, 1011, 1685, \dots\}$ and for some $a, b, c \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$:

$$\left[\frac{4}{n} = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} \wedge \frac{1685n}{337} = (x+c) = a \wedge 18n = b \wedge \frac{90n}{337} = c \right].$$

For $n = 1009$ and for $m = 3$ and for some $x, c \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$:

$$\left[\frac{4m-1}{nm} = \frac{x+2c}{(x+c)c} \wedge 3c^2 + (3x - 6054)c - 3027x = 0 \right] \Rightarrow$$

$$\left[\Delta = (3x)^2 + 6054^2 = (n^2 + m^2)^2 \wedge x = \frac{1009^2 - 3^2}{11} \wedge c = \frac{3027 + 9}{11} = 276 \right].$$

Hence for all $n \in \{1009, 3027, 5045, \dots\}$ and for some $a, b, c \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$:

$$\left[\frac{4}{n} = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} \wedge \frac{92828n}{1009} = (x+c) = a \wedge 3n = b \wedge \frac{276n}{1009} = c \right].$$

For $n = 1201$ and for $m = 8$ and for some $x, c \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$:

$$\left[\frac{31}{8n} = \frac{x+2c}{(x+c)c} \wedge 31c^2 + (31x - 19216)c - 9608x = 0 \right] \Rightarrow$$

$$\left[\Delta = (31x)^2 + 19216^2 = (n^2 + m^2)^2 \wedge x = \frac{1201^2 - 8^2}{31} \wedge c = \frac{nm + m^2}{31} \right].$$

Hence for all $n \in \{1201, 3603, 6005, \dots\}$ and for some $a, b, c \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$:

$$\left[\frac{4}{n} = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} \wedge \frac{46839n}{1201} = a \wedge 8n = b \wedge \frac{312n}{1201} = c \right].$$

IV. THE PROOF OF THE JEŚMANOWICZ'S CONJECTURE

Conjecture 2 (Jeśmanowicz Conjecture). For all $p, q \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and for all $x, r, s \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ such that $p + q > 0$ and $r - s \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ and $\gcd(r, s) = 1$:

$$[(r^2 - s^2)^{p+x} + (2rs)^x \neq (r^2 + s^2)^{q+x} \wedge (r^2 - s^2)^x + (2rs)^{p+x} \neq (r^2 + s^2)^{q+x}].$$

Lemma. Let r and s be two relatively prime natural numbers such that $r - s$ is positive and odd. Then $(r^2 - s^2, 2rs, r^2 + s^2)$ is a primitive Pythagorean triple, and each primitive Pythagorean triple arises in this way for some r, s [6], that is to say for all primitive Pythagorean triple there exists different and only one shared pair (r, s) .

Proof. Suppose that for some $p, q \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and for some $x, r, s \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ such that $p + q > 0$ and $r - s \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ and $\mathbf{gcd}(r, s) = 1$:

$$[(r^2 - s^2)^{p+x} + (2rs)^x = (r^2 + s^2)^{q+x} \vee (r^2 - s^2)^x + (2rs)^{p+x} = (r^2 + s^2)^{q+x}].$$

On the strength of the above **Lemma** it must be

$$(p + x = 2 \wedge p = 0 \wedge x = 2 \wedge q + x = 2 \wedge q = 0) \implies p + q = 0,$$

which is inconsistent with $p + q > 0$. ♠

V. DISPROOF THE ABC CONJECTURE

Conjecture 3 (ABC Conjecture). For all $\epsilon > 0$ there exist only finitely many triples (a, b, c) of positive coprime integers, with $a + b = c > d^{1+\epsilon}$, where d denotes the product of the distinct prime factors of the product abc . [1]

Disproof. Without loss for the disproof we can assume that $g = 1$ or g are odd prime numbers.

Theorem 2. For all $x \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ and for some relatively prime $a_1, b_1 \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ and for all $\epsilon \in \left(0, \frac{1}{c}\right]$: $(a_1)^x + (b_1)^x = a + b = (a_1 + b_1)g = c > d^{1+\epsilon}$, where d denotes the product of the distinct prime factors of the product abc . If $\epsilon = c$, then $a + b = c < d^{1+\epsilon}$. This is the disproof.

Examples.

For all $x \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ and for all $\epsilon \in \left(0, \frac{1}{1^x + 63^x}\right]$:

$$1^x + 63^x = 2^6 g > (2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7g)^{1+\epsilon} = d^{1+\epsilon}.$$

For all $x \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ and for all $\epsilon \in \left(0, \frac{1}{3^x + 125^x}\right]$:

$$\left[\left(\frac{3^x + 125^x}{3 + 125} \text{ is odd [4]}\right) \wedge 3^x + 125^x = 2^7 g > (2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5g)^{1+\epsilon} = d^{1+\epsilon}\right] \implies$$

$$2^6 > (2g)^\epsilon (3 \cdot 5)^{1+\epsilon}.$$

For all $x \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ and for all $\epsilon \in \left(0, \frac{1}{81^x + 175^x}\right]$:

$$81^x + 175^x = 2^8 g > (2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7g)^{1+\epsilon} = d^{1+\epsilon}.$$

For all $x \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ and for all $\epsilon \in \left(0, \frac{1}{169^x + 343^x}\right]$:

$$169^x + 343^x = 2^9 g > (2 \cdot 7 \cdot 13g)^{1+\epsilon} = d^{1+\epsilon}.$$

For all $x \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ and for all $\epsilon \in \left(0, \frac{1}{243^x + 1805^x}\right]$:

$$243^x + 1805^x = 2^{11} g > (2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 19g)^{1+\epsilon} = d^{1+\epsilon}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1]. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Abc_conjecture
- [2]. Bobiński, Z., Kamiński, B.: WIADOMOŚCI MATEMATYCZNE XXXV, SERIES II, ROCZNIKI POLSKIEGO TOWARZYSTWA MATEMATYCZNEGO 1999 – http://main3.amu.edu.pl/~wiadmat/145-151_zb_wm35.pdf
- [3]. Monks, M. and Velingker, A.: On the Erdős-Straus Conjecture: Properties of Solutions to its Underlying Diophantine Equation - http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~avelink/papers/erdos_straus.pdf
- [4]. Guła, L. W.: The Proof of The Beal's Conjecture - <http://www.ijmsea.com/admin/docs/1423144652ISSUE-4.pdf>
- [5]. Guła, L. W.: The Truly Marvellous Proof – http://www.ijetae.com/files/Volume2Issue12/IJETAE_1212_14.pdf
- [6]. Husemöler, D. Elliptic Curves, Second Edition, Springer, p. 7 - <http://www.math.rochester.edu/people/faculty/doug/otherpapers/Husemoller.pdf>